α-Fe₂O₃ Nanowires. Confined Synthesis and Catalytic Hydroxylation of Phenol

Feng Jiao, Bin Yue,* Kake Zhu, Dongyuan Zhao, and Heyong He*

Shanghai Key Laboratory of Molecular Catalysis and Innovative Materials, Department of Chemistry, Fudan University,

Shanghai 200433, P. R. China

(Received April 18, 2003; CL-030333)

 α -Fe₂O₃ nanowires were prepared using mesoporous silica SBA-15 as a template and showed a remarkable catalytic activity for the hydroxylation of phenol with 30% H₂O₂ in aqueous solution at 343 K.

In the past several years, nanowires of some transition metals and their oxides, such as Mo, W, and WO₃, were synthesized successfully by two main approaches, template synthesis and step-edge decoration.^{1–3} Their special electric and magnetic properties attract much attention. However, as far as we know, the report on the catalytic property of nanowires is very limited,⁴ although nanoparticles of transition metal or metal oxide, such as Pt on mesoporous materials and Fe₂O₃ supported on resin have been used as catalysts.^{4,5} Fe₂O₃ is commonly used as electric, magnetic and catalytic materials.^{6–8} Here, we report the synthesis of Fe₂O₃ nanowires inside SBA-15 channels (Fe₂O₃/SBA-15), which can be employed as a catalyst for the reaction of phenol hydroxylation, and the isolation of pure Fe₂O₃ nanowires by removal of the silica template.

Silica mesoporous molecular sieves, SBA-15, which can be synthesized over a wide range of uniform pore sizes and pore wall thicknesses,⁹ were used as template to synthesize the α -Fe₂O₃ nanowires. To a mixture of toluene (150 mL) and 3-[(2-aminoethyl)amino]propyltrimethoxysilane (AEPTS, 2 mL), 5 g of SBA-15 was added and stirred at 393 K for 6 h. After filtering off, the functionalized AEPTS/SBA-15 was washed with toluene and dried at 383 K for 12 h. The introduction of iron ions into the channels of AEPTS/SBA-15 was carried out at room temperature by stirring a suspension of 0.5 g of AEPTS/SBA-15 in 20 mL of 0.4 mol cm⁻³ aqueous solution of Fe(NO₃)₃ for 6 h. The final product was washed with water, dried at 373 K for 3 h and calcinated at 873 K for 6 h in air.

The BET surface area, pore volume and average pore size of SBA-15 containing α -Fe₂O₃ nanowires inside channels (Fe₂O₃/SBA-15) are determined by N₂ adsorption-desorption measurement at 77 K. The average pore size was calculated from the desorption branch of the isotherms by BJH method. The BET surface area, pore volume and average pore size of Fe₂O₃/SBA-15 are 313 m²/g, 0.58 cm³/g and 6.5 nm, respectively, and those for pure SBA-15 are 553 m²/g, 1.18 cm³/g and 8.6 nm. It is noticeable that all these data for Fe₂O₃ SBA-15 reduce remarkably, indicating that the Fe₂O₃ nanowires were formed inside the SBA-15 channels. Furthermore, the remained surface area and the pores in mesoporous scale of Fe₂O₃/SBA-15 provide enough space for catalytic reactions.

The XRD patterns shown in Figure 1 indicate that the iron oxides inside the SBA-15 channels belong to the α -Fe₂O₃ phase (JCPDS No. 24-0072). The ESR spectrum of Fe₂O₃/SBA-15 is shown in Figure 2. The signal at g = 2.0 is attributed to Fe³⁺ in octahedral coordination with a high symmetry, indicating that



Figure 1. The XRD patterns of SBA-15 and Fe₂O₃/SBA-15 in the high 2θ range.

the iron oxides are not incorporated into the SBA-15 silica wall.¹⁰ Figure 3a shows the TEM image of Fe₂O₃/SBA-15. It can be observed that the regular hexagonal mesopores of SBA-15 are kept after the formation of α -Fe₂O₃ in the channels. To confirm the morphology of α -Fe₂O₃ inside the SBA-15 channels, we used a hot 0.5 M aqueous NaOH solution to dissolve the silica template. The TEM image of α -Fe₂O₃ nanowires is shown in Figure 3b. The complete removal of SBA-15 template is confirmed by EDX analysis. The diameter of α -Fe₂O₃ nanowires is ca. 6 nm and the length from several hundred nanometers to 1 µm. Figure 3c shows a typical HRTEM image of a discrete nanowire. The nanowire shows good crystal-



Figure 2. ESR spectrum of Fe₂O₃/SBA-15.

Table 1.	The	hydroxyla	ation	activities	of	different	cataly	vsts ^a
----------	-----	-----------	-------	------------	----	-----------	--------	-------------------

Catalysts	Fe ₂ O ₃ content ^b	Phenol conversion	ç	Leaching ^b		
	/%	/%	HQ	BQ	CAT	1 10
Fe ₂ O ₃ /SBA-15	24.8	22.1	40.5	0.8	58.7	11.6
Fe ₂ O ₃ /SBA-15 ^c	21.9	19.6	39.1	1.2	59.7	10.7
Fe ₂ O ₃ -SBA-15 ^d	12.7	20.6	42.7	0.1	56.2	56.4

^aHQ: hydroquinone, BQ: *p*-benzoquinone, CAT: catechol, the starting weight of phenol = 1.0 g, phenol:H₂O₂ (30 wt·%) = 3.2 (mole/mole), phenol:catalyst = 20 (w/w), Temperature = 343 K, Reaction time = 4 h. ^bDetermined by ICP. ^cSecond reaction cycle. ^dPrepared by impregnation of SBA-15 in aqueous solution of Fe(NO₃)₃ and calcinated at 873 K for 6 h.



Figure 3. The TEM images of (a) $Fe_2O_3/SBA-15$, (b) α -Fe_2O_3 nanowires, and (c) the HRTEM image of an α -Fe_2O_3 nanowire.

linity and reveals clear lattice fringes. The distance between two fringes is 0.37 nm, corresponding to the face spacing of (0 1 2) of α -Fe₂O₃.

The results of the oxidation of phenol with aqueous H_2O_2 (30%) catalyzed by a number of catalysts are listed in Table 1. At the present reaction conditions $Fe_2O_3/SBA-15$ shows good catalytic activity for hydroxylation of phenol and relative low leaching of Fe_2O_3 compared to the Fe_2O_3 -SBA-15 catalyst prepared by impregnation method, indicating that strong anchoring of ferric nitrate with the amminosilylized channel surface of SBA-15 leads to high content of iron precursor. In comparison with the impregnated Fe_2O_3 sample, $Fe_2O_3/SBA-15$ has the better catalytic performance and lower leaching of the active species, which is possibly due to the remaining large mesoporous channels after the formation of α -Fe₂O₃ nanowires inside SBA-15 and the interaction between the nanowires and the SBA-15 walls.

In conclusion, α -Fe₂O₃ nanowires were successfully synthesized using SBA-15 as a template and confirmed by ESR, XRD and TEM analysis. α -Fe₂O₃ nanowires inside SBA-15 channels show a good catalytic activity for the hydroxylation of phenol with 30% H₂O₂ in aqueous solution at 343 K.

HH acknowledges the NSFC for financial support (grant Nos. 20005310 and 20273017). BY acknowledges the NSFC for financial support (grant No. 20171013).

References

- 1 M. P. Zach, K. H. Ng, and R. M. Penner, *Science*, **290**, 2120 (2000).
- Y. H. Lee, C. H. Choi, Y. T. Jang, E. K. Kim, B. K. Ju, N. K. Min, and J. H. Ahn, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, **81**, 745 (2002).
- 3 G. Gu, B. Zhang, W. Q. Han, S. Roth, and J. Liu, *Nano Lett.*, 2, 849 (2002).
- 4 A. Fukuoka, N. Higashimoto, Y. Sakamoto, M. Sasaki, N. Sugimoto, S. Inagaki, Y. Fukushima, and M. Ichikawa, *Catal. Today*, **66**, 23 (2001).
- 5 D. Y. Wang, Z. Q. Liu, F. Q. Liu, X. Ai, X. T. Zhang, Y. Cao, J. F. Yu, T. H. Wu, Y. B. Bai, T. J. Li, and X. Y. Tang, *Appl. Catal.*, A, **174**, 25 (1998).
- 6 J. K. Leland and A. J. Bard, J. Phys. Chem., **91**, 5076 (1987).
- 7 R. M. Cornell and U. Schwertmann, "The Iron Oxides," VCH, New York (1996).
- 8 R. Pestman, R. M. Koster, E. Boellaard, A. M. Van der Kraan, and V. Ponec, *J. Catal.*, **174**, 142 (1998).
- 9 D. Y. Zhao, J. L. Feng, Q. S. Huo, N. Melosh, G. H. Fredrickson, B. F. Chmelka, and G. D. Stucky, *Science*, 279, 548 (1998).
- 10 D. Goldfarb, M. Bernardo, K. G. Strohmaier, D. E. W. Vaughan, and H. Thomann, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 116, 6344 (1994).